UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,256	03/02/2004	Edward A. Schroeppel	3102.022	3969
	7590 06/25/200 JUHNKE LEBENS &	EXAMINER		
1010 PEACH STREET P.O. BOX 31 SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA 93406			GETZOW, SCOTT M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apper a period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 April 2 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is Good in accordance with the practice under Ex participant.	SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC OF THIS COMMUNIC. In no event, however, may a repply and will expire SIX (6) MONT to the application to become ABA of this communication, even if the	DNTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, ATION. ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apper any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 19 April 2 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parallel.	cott M. Getzow/ s on the cover sheet with SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC OF THIS COMMUNIC. In no event, however, may a reply and will expire SIX (6) MONT the the application to become ABA of this communication, even if tire	Art Unit  3762  h the correspondence address  ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,  ATION. ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will ap - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, caus Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 April 2 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action Since this application is in condition for allowance of closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC OF THIS COMMUNIC. In no event, however, may a reply and will expire SIX (6) MONT the the application to become ABA of this communication, even if times and the second secon	3762  The the correspondence address  ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,  ATION.  Ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will ap Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, caus Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 April 2 2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action Since this application is in condition for allowance of closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC OF THIS COMMUNIC. In no event, however, may a reply and will expire SIX (6) MONT the the application to become ABA of this communication, even if tires.	h the correspondence address  ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, ATION. ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will app - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, caus Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)   Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 April 2 2a)   This action is FINAL.  2b)   This action closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC OF THIS COMMUNIC. In no event, however, may a repply and will expire SIX (6) MONT to the application to become ABA of this communication, even if the	DNTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, ATION. ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will app. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, caus Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 April 2  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parts.	OF THIS COMMUNIC. In no event, however, may a rep ply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te the application to become ABA of this communication, even if tir	ATION. ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 April 2      This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is FINAL.      Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parts.	2007	
<ul> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) ☐ This action</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance of closed in accordance with the practice under Ex particle.</li> </ul>	1007	
Diamental and Allahar	on is non-final. except for formal matte	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 and 17-38 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn fr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 and 17-38 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or elected.	rom consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepte Applicant may not request that any objection to the draw Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ring(s) be held in abeyand s required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prior a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha 2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bureau (PC) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	ve been received. ve been received in Ap locuments have been re CT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :5/12/04,6/21/04,6/25/04,1/31/05,4/13/05,1/19/06,2/28/06,11/8/06,12/15/06.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,256

Art Unit: 3762

## Double Patenting

Page 2

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1-10,17-38 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,738,663. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application are considered to be an obvious variant of the claims of the parent patent.
- 3. Claims 1-10,17-38 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,366,808. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the method claims of the '808 patent encompass the structure of the apparatus claims of the present application.

Page 3

4. Claims 17-26,32-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Herbst et al (6,021,347).

Herbst is considered to teach an apparatus that can perform all of the intended functions of the above claims. Further, the device could be implanted, if desired. There is no structural limitation in applicant's claims that requires only implantable operability.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 1-10,17-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herbst et al (6,021,347) in view of Habal (article titled 'Effect of applied dc current on experimental tumor growth in rats').

Habal shows an implantable unit, see figure 2. To make the device of Herbst implantable, (that is, hermetically sealed), would have been obvious in light of Habal since such would enable the treatment to be performed on the patient more conveniently and with less restriction on movement.

6. Claims 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herbst et al in view of Slovak (5,058,605).

Slovak teaches the use of DC pulses to treat human and animal tissue. To use pulses with the device of Herbst would have been obvious since such has been shown to be commonly used in human tissue stimulators.

Art Unit: 3762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Scott M. Getzow/ whose telephone number is (571) 272-4946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Scott M. Getzow/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

SMG